

March 27, 2007

Reply to the Office Action dated November 30, 2006

Page 4 of 5

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Claims 1-12 are pending in this application. By this Amendment, Applicant AMENDS Claim 1.

On page 2 of the outstanding Office Action, the Examiner rejected Claims 1, 2, 4, 5, 7, 9, 10, and 12 under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being anticipated by Truc (U.S. 6,502,341). On page 3 of the Office Action, the Examiner rejected Claims 3, 6, 8, and 11 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Truc in view of Gustafson (U.S. 5,032,436).

Applicant respectfully traverses the rejection of Claims 1-12.

Applicant has amended Claim 1 to recite:

A display device comprising a display panel with a substrate, and a frame including a supporting portion for supporting the display panel on the periphery thereof and on a principal surface of the substrate,

wherein the supporting portion includes a flat portion and a first curved portion, which are opposed to the principal surface of the substrate, the first curved portion being continuous with the flat portion, and

wherein the principal surface of the substrate is fixed onto the flat portion either directly or indirectly, and

wherein while no external force is being applied to the substrate, a gap is left between the principal surface of the substrate and the first curved portion; wherein

**the display device dynamically displays information for an electronic device.** (emphasis added)

In Section No. 3 on pages 2 and 3 of the Office Action, the Examiner alleged that the cardboard-plastic slide mount of Truc teaches each feature recited in Claim 1.

Applicant has amended Claim 1 to recite the feature of “the display device dynamically displays information for an electronic device.”

Truc discloses a cardboard-plastic slide mount. Lines 11-16 of column 1 of Truc state, “The present invention relates to a slide mount for mounting film transparencies from a photographic film web to prepare a photographic slide. In particular, the present invention relates to an improved cardboard slide mount which incorporates a plastic brace between opposing plastic coated cardboard frames.” That is, the Truc cardboard-plastic slide mount clearly fails to dynamically display any information.

Application No. 10/529,856

March 27, 2007

Reply to the Office Action dated November 30, 2006

Page 5 of 5

Thus, Applicant respectfully submit that Truc fails to teach or suggest the feature of "the display device dynamically displays information for an electronic device" as recited in Applicant's Claim 1.

Accordingly, Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration and withdrawal of the rejection of Claim 1 under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being anticipated by Truc.

The Examiner relied upon Gustafson to allegedly cure various deficiencies in Truc. However, Truc and Gustafson, applied alone or in combination with, fail to teach or suggest the feature of "the display device dynamically displays information for an electronic device" in combination with the other features recited in Applicant's Claim 1.

Accordingly, Applicant respectfully submits that the prior art of record, applied alone or in combination, fails to teach or suggest the unique combination and arrangement of elements recited in Claim 1 of the present application. Claims 2-12 depend upon Claim 1 and are therefore allowable for at least the reasons that Claim 1 is allowable.

In view of the foregoing amendments and remarks, Applicant respectfully submits that this application is in condition for allowance. Favorable consideration and prompt allowance are solicited.

To the extent necessary, Applicant petitions the Commissioner for a ONE-month extension of time, extending to March 30, 2007, the period for response to the Office Action dated November 30, 2006.

The Commissioner is authorized to charge any shortage in fees due in connection with the filing of this paper, including extension of time fees, to Deposit Account No. 50-1353.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: March 27, 2007

/Peter Medley #56,125/  
Attorneys for Applicant

**KEATING & BENNETT, LLP**  
8180 Greensboro Drive, Suite 850  
Tyson's Corner, VA 22102  
Telephone: (703) 637-1480  
Facsimile: (703) 637-1499

Joseph R. Keating  
Registration No. 37,368  
  
Peter Medley  
Registration No. 56,125